arXiv:1605.01750v1 [math.CO] 3 May 2016

Some results on the spectral radii of uniform hypergraphs ☆

Liying Kang[a], Lele Liu[a], Liqun Qi[b], Xiying Yuan[a,*]

[a] *Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, China*
[b] *Department of Applied Mathematics, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, HungHom, Kowloon, HongKong*

Abstract

Let $\mathcal{A}(G)$ be the adjacency tensor (hypermatrix) of uniform hypergraph G. The maximum modulus of the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{A}(G)$ is called the spectral radius of G. In this paper, the conjecture of Fan et al. in [5] related to compare the spectral radii of some three uniform hypergraphs is solved. Moreover, some eigenvalues properties of a kind of uniform hypergraphs are obtained.

Keywords: uniform hypergraph, adjacency tensor, spectral radius, linear bicyclic hypergraph
2010 MSC: 15A42, 05C50

1. Introduction

Denote the set $\{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ by $[n]$. Hypergraph is a natural generalization of ordinary graph (see [1]). A *hypergraph* $G = (V(G), E(G))$ on n vertices is a set of vertices, say $V(G) = \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ and a set of edges, say $E(G) = \{e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_m\}$, where $e_i = \{i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_l\}, i_j \in [n]$, $j = 1, 2, \cdots, l$. If $|e_i| = k$ for any $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$, then G is called a *k-uniform* hypergraph. In particular, the 2-uniform hypergraphs are exactly the ordinary graphs. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$ the *degree* $d_G(v)$ is defined as $d_G(v) = |\{e_i : v \in e_i \in E(G)\}|$. Vertex with degree one is called *pendent vertex* in this paper. Denote by $G - e$ a new graph (hypergraph) obtained from G by deleting the edge e of G, and by $G + e$ a new graph (hypergraph) obtained from G by adding the edge e with $e \notin E(G)$.

An order k dimension n tensor $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{T}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n \times \cdots \times n}$ over the complex field $\mathbb{C}$ is a multi-dimensional array with n^k entries, where $i_j \in [n]$ for each $j = 1, 2, \cdots, k$.

To study the properties of uniform hypergraphs by algebraic methods, adjacency matrix has been generalized to adjacency tensor (hypermatrix) in [4].

Definition 1 ([4]) Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a k-uniform hypergraph on n vertices. The adjacency tensor of G is defined as the k-th order n-dimensional tensor $\mathcal{A}(G)$ whose $(i_1 \cdots i_k)$-entry is

$$(\mathcal{A}(G))_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(k-1)!} & \text{if } \{i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_k\} \in E(G), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

☆This work was supported by the Hong Kong Research Grant Council (Grant Nos. PolyU 502111, 501212, 501913 and 15302114) and NSF of China (Grant Nos. 11101263, 11471210) and by a grant of "The First-class Discipline of Universities in Shanghai".
*Corresponding author
Email address: xiyingyuan2007@hotmail.com (Xiying Yuan)

Definition 2 ([10]) Let $\mathcal{T}$ be an order k dimension n tensor, $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_n)^T \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be a column vector of dimension n. Then $\mathcal{T}x^{k-1}$ is defined to be a vector in $\mathbb{C}^n$ whose i-th component is the following

$$(\mathcal{T}x^{k-1})_i = \sum_{i_2,\cdots,i_k=1}^{n} \mathcal{T}_{ii_2\cdots i_k} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_k}, \quad (i = 1, \cdots, n). \tag{1}$$

Let $x^{[r]} = (x_1^r, \cdots, x_n^r)^T$. Then a number $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is called an *eigenvalue* of the tensor $\mathcal{T}$ if there exists a nonzero vector $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that

$$\mathcal{T}x^{k-1} = \lambda x^{[k-1]}. \tag{2}$$

and in this case, x is called an *eigenvector* of $\mathcal{T}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ.

By using the general product of tensors defined in [12], $\mathcal{T}x^{k-1}$ can be simply written as $\mathcal{T}x$. In the remaining part of this paper, we will use $\mathcal{T}x$ to denote $\mathcal{T}x^{k-1}$.

In [6], the weak irreducibility of nonnegative tensors was defined. It was proved in [6] and [13] that a k-uniform hypergraph G is connected if and only if its adjacency tensor $\mathcal{A}(G)$ is weakly irreducible.

The spectral radius of $\mathcal{T}$ is defined as $\rho(\mathcal{T}) = \max\{|\lambda| : \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } \mathcal{T}\}$. Part of Perron-Frobenius theorem for nonnegative tensors is stated in the following for reference.

Theorem 3 ([3],[13]) *Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a nonnegative tensor. Then we have the following statements.*

(1). *$\rho(\mathcal{T})$ is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{T}$ with a nonnegative eigenvector x corresponding to it.*

(2). *If $\mathcal{T}$ is weakly irreducible, then x is positive, and for any eigenvalue μ with nonnegative eigenvector, $\mu = \rho(\mathcal{T})$ holding.*

(3). *The nonnegative eigenvector x corresponding to $\rho(\mathcal{T})$ is unique up to a constant multiple.*

For weakly irreducible nonnegative $\mathcal{T}$ of order k, the positive eigenvector x with $||x||_k = 1$ corresponding to $\rho(\mathcal{T})$ is called the *principal eigenvector* of $\mathcal{T}$ in this paper.

2. Comparison of spectral radii of $B_m^L(1)$, $B_m^L(2)$ and B_m^P

For any two edges e_i and e_j of hypergraph G, if $|e_i \cap e_j| \leqslant 1$, $i \neq j$, then G is called a *linear hypergraph* (see [2]). Let G be a connected k-uniform hypergraph with n vertices and m edges. Then G is called a *bicyclic hypergraph* if $m(k-1) - n = 1$ holding (see [5]).

Let $B_m^L(1)$, $B_m^L(2)$ and B_m^P be k-uniform hypergraphs with m edges as shown in Figure 1. Theorem 3.9 of [5] stated that among all the linear bicyclic uniform hypergraphs with $m \geqslant 5$ edges, the hypergraph maximizing the spectral radius is among one the three hypergraphs: $B_m^L(1)$, $B_m^L(2)$ and B_m^P. For further information the following conjecture was presented.

Conjecture 4 ([5]) *For $m \geqslant 5$, $\rho\left(B_m^L(1)\right) > \rho\left(B_m^L(2)\right) > \rho\left(B_m^P\right)$.*

A novel method, weighted incidence matrix method is introduced by Lu and Man in [9]. It should be announced that spectral radius defined in [9] differ from this paper, while for a k-uniform hypergraph G the spectral radius defined in [9] equals to $(k-1)!\rho(G)$, and then it does not effect the result. We will use this method to prove $\rho\left(B_m^L(1)\right) > \rho\left(B_m^L(2)\right)$.



Figure 1: (1) B_m^P **(2)** $B_m^L(1)$ **(3)** $B_m^L(2)$

Definition 5 ([9]) A *weighted incidence matrix* B of a hypergraph $H = (V(H), E(H))$ is a $|V(H)|\times |E(H)|$ matrix such that for any vertex v and any edge e, the entry $B(v,e) > 0$ if $v \in e$ and $B(v,e) = 0$ if $v \notin e$.

Definition 6 ([9])

(1). A hypergraph H is called *α-normal* if there exists a weighted incidence matrix B satisfying

(i). $\sum_{e:v\in e} B(v,e) = 1$, for any $v \in V(H)$;

(ii). $\prod_{v:v\in e} B(v,e) = \alpha$, for any $e \in E(H)$.

Moreover, the weighted incidence matrix B is called *consistent* if for any cycle $v_0e_1v_1e_2\cdots v_\ell$ $(v_\ell = v_0)$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{B(v_i,e_i)}{B(v_{i-1},e_i)} = 1.$$

In this case, H is called *consistently α-normal*.

(2). A hypergraph H is called *α-subnormal* if there exists a weighted incidence matrix B satisfying

(i). $\sum_{e:v\in e} B(v,e) \leqslant 1$, for any $v \in V(H)$;

(ii). $\prod_{v:v\in e} B(v,e) \geqslant \alpha$, for any $e \in E(H)$.

Moreover, H is called *strictly α-subnormal* if it is α-subnormal but not α-normal.

Lemma 7 ([9]) *Let H be a connected k-uniform hypergraph.*

(1). *H is consistently α-normal if and only if $\rho(H) = \alpha^{-\frac{1}{k}}$.*

(2). *If H is α-subnormal, then $\rho(H) \leqslant \alpha^{-\frac{1}{k}}$. Moreover, if H is strictly α-subnormal, then $\rho(H) < \alpha^{-\frac{1}{k}}$.*

Theorem 8 *If $m \geqslant 5$, then $\rho\left(B_m^L(1)\right) > \rho\left(B_m^L(2)\right)$.*

Proof. Let

$$f(x) = (m-4)x^4 - (m-1)x^3 - x + 1.$$

It is claimed that there exists a unique zero of $f(x)$ in interval $(0,1)$ whenever $m \geqslant 5$. In fact, it is clear that $f(0) = 1 > 0$, $f(1) = -3 < 0$ and $f(+\infty) = +\infty$, therefore $f(x)$ has at least two real zeros located in $(0,1)$ and $(1,+\infty)$. Suppose that $f(x)$ has four real zeros x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4. Notice that $f(x) > 0$ whenever $x \leqslant 0$, it follows that all the real zeros of $f(x)$ are located in $(0,+\infty)$. Hence $x_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. However, according to Vieta's formulas we have

$$\sum_{1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant 4} x_i x_j = 0,$$

which is a contradiction with $x_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Therefore $f(x)$ has only two real zeros located in $(0,1)$ and $(1,+\infty)$, respectively. Thus there exists a unique zero of $f(x)$ in interval $(0,1)$.

Suppose that $\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}}$ is the zero of $f(x)$ in interval $(0,1)$, i.e.,

$$(m-4)\alpha^{\frac{4}{3}} - (m-1)\alpha - \alpha^{\frac{1}{3}} + 1 = 0. \tag{3}$$

In what follows, we first prove that $\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}}$ is monotonically decreasing on m. For convenience, we denote by $y = \alpha^{\frac{1}{3}}$, i.e.,

$$(m-4)y^4 - (m-1)y^3 - y + 1 = 0. \tag{4}$$

Take the derivative of both sides of (4) on m, we have

$$[4(m-4)y^3 - 3(m-1)y^2 - 1] \cdot y' = y^3 - y^4. \tag{5}$$

From (4), we obtain

$$m = \frac{4y^4 - y^3 + y - 1}{y^4 - y^3}.$$

Substituting this into (5), we see

$$y' = -\frac{y^4(y-1)^2}{3[y^4 + (y-1)^2]} < 0,$$

which implies that $\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}}$ is monotonically decreasing on m. Hence

$$\begin{cases} \alpha^{\frac{1}{3}} > \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } m = 5, \\ \alpha^{\frac{1}{3}} = \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } m = 6, \\ \alpha^{\frac{1}{3}} < \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } m \geqslant 7. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

We now prove that $B_m^L(1)$ is consistently α-normal. Label edges and vertices of $B_m^L(1)$ as shown in Figure 2.

Construct a weighted incidence matrix $B(u,e)$ of $B_m^L(1)$ as follows.

$$B(u,e) = \begin{cases} 0 & u \notin e, \\ 1 & u \text{ is a pendent vertex in } e, \\ \alpha & (u,e) = (u_1, e_i), i = 1, 2, \cdots, m-4, \\ \dfrac{\alpha}{1-\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}}} & (u,e) = (u_1, e_i), i = m-3, m-2, m-1, \\ 1-\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}} & (u,e) = (u_2, e_{m-3}), (u_3, e_{m-2}), (u_4, e_{m-1}), \\ \alpha^{\frac{1}{3}} & (u,e) = (u_i, e_m), i = 2, 3, 4. \end{cases}$$



Figure 2: $B_m^L(1)$



Figure 3: $B_m^L(2)$

It can be checked that

$$\sum_{e:u\in e} B(u,e)=1, \quad \text{for any } u\in V(B_m^L(1)),$$
$$\prod_{u:u\in e} B(u,e)=\alpha, \quad \text{for any } e\in E(B_m^L(1)),$$

which yields that $B_m^L(1)$ is α-normal. For cycle $u_1e_{m-3}u_2e_mu_3e_{m-2}u_1$, we have

$$\frac{B(u_2,e_{m-3})}{B(u_1,e_{m-3})}\cdot\frac{B(u_3,e_m)}{B(u_2,e_m)}\cdot\frac{B(u_1,e_{m-2})}{B(u_3,e_{m-2})}=\frac{1-\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}}}}\cdot\frac{\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}}}\cdot\frac{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}}}}{1-\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}}}=1.$$

Similarly, for cycles $u_1e_{m-3}u_2e_mu_4e_{m-1}u_1$ and $u_1e_{m-2}u_3e_mu_4e_{m-1}u_1$, we have the same results. Hence $B_m^L(1)$ is consistently α-normal, and therefore $\rho(B_m^L(1))=\alpha^{-\frac{1}{k}}$ from (1) of Lemma 7.

Now we consider the hypergraph $B_m^L(2)$. Label the edges and vertices of $B_m^L(2)$ as shown in Figure 3. We distinguish two cases as follows.

Case 1: $m=5$.

In this case, (3) can be written as

$$\alpha^{\frac{4}{3}}-4\alpha-\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}}+1=0. \tag{7}$$

Construct a weighted incidence matrix $B(v,e)$ of $B_m^L(2)$ as follows.

$$B(v,e)=\begin{cases}0 & v\notin e,\\ 1 & v \text{ is a pendent vertex in } e,\\ \alpha & (v,e)=(v_1,e_1),\\ 1-\alpha-\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}} & (v,e)=(v_1,e_2),\\ \alpha^{\frac{1}{3}} & (v,e)=(v_i,e_5), i=1,2,3,\\ 1-\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}} & (v,e)=(v_2,e_3),(v_3,e_4),\\ \dfrac{\alpha}{1-\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}}} & (v,e)=(v_4,e_i), i=3,4,\\ 1-\dfrac{2\alpha}{1-\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}}} & (v,e)=(v_4,e_2).\end{cases}$$

It can be checked that

$$\sum_{e:v\in e} B(v,e)=1,\ \text{ for any } v\in V(B_m^L(2)),$$

$$\prod_{v:v\in e} B(v,e)=\alpha,\ \text{ for any } e\neq e_2.$$

For the edge e_2. It follows from (6) and (7) that

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{v:v\in e_2} B(v,e_2) &= \left(1-\frac{2\alpha}{1-\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}}}\right)\cdot(1-\alpha-\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}})\\ &= \frac{[(1-\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}})-2\alpha]\cdot[(1-\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}})-\alpha]}{1-\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}}}\\ &= \frac{[(4\alpha-\alpha^{\frac{4}{3}})-2\alpha]\cdot[(4\alpha-\alpha^{\frac{4}{3}})-\alpha]}{4\alpha-\alpha^{\frac{4}{3}}}\\ &= \alpha+\frac{\alpha(\alpha^{\frac{2}{3}}-4\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}}+2)}{4-\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}}}\\ &> \alpha,\end{aligned}$$

which yields that $B_m^L(2)$ is strictly α-subnormal, and then by (2) of Lemma 7 we have $\rho(B_m^L(2))<\alpha^{-\frac{1}{k}}=\rho(B_m^L(1))$.

Case 2: $m\geqslant 6$.

We construct a weighted incidence matrix $B(v,e)$ for the hypergraph $B_m^L(2)$ as follows.

$$B(v,e)=\begin{cases}0 & v\notin e,\\ 1 & v \text{ is a pendent vertex in } e,\\ \alpha & (v,e)=(v_1,e_i), i=1,2,\cdots,m-4,\\ \dfrac{\alpha}{1-\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}}} & (v,e)=(v_1,e_{m-3}),\\ \dfrac{2\alpha}{1-\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}}} & (v,e)=(v_1,e_m),\\ 1-2\alpha^{\frac{2}{3}} & (v,e)=(v_i,e_m), i=2,3,\\ 2\alpha^{\frac{2}{3}} & (v,e)=(v_2,e_{m-2}),(v_3,e_{m-1}),\\ \dfrac{\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}}}{2} & (v,e)=(v_4,e_i), i=m-2,m-1,\\ 1-\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}} & (v,e)=(v_4,e_{m-3}).\end{cases}$$

It can be checked that

$$\sum_{e:v\in e} B(v,e)=1,\ \text{ for any } v\in V(B_m^L(2)),$$

$$\prod_{v:v\in e} B(v,e)=\alpha,\ \text{ for any } e\neq e_m.$$

For the edge e_m, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{v:v\in e_m} B(v,e_m) &= \frac{2\alpha}{1-\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}}}\cdot\left(1-2\alpha^{\frac{2}{3}}\right)^2\\ &= \frac{2\alpha\left(4\alpha^{\frac{4}{3}}-4\alpha^{\frac{2}{3}}+1\right)}{1-\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}}}\\ &= \alpha+\frac{\alpha(2\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}}-1)(\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}}+1)(4\alpha^{\frac{2}{3}}-2\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}}-1)}{1-\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}}}.\end{aligned}$$

(i). If $m=6$, then $\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}}=\frac{1}{2}$. Therefore

$$\prod_{v:v\in e_m} B(v,e_m)=\alpha,$$

which implies that $B_m^L(2)$ is α-normal. Then $B_m^L(2)$ is α-subnormal and by (2) of Lemma 7 we have $\rho(B_m^L(2))\leqslant\alpha^{-\frac{1}{k}}$. Now we will show $\rho(B_m^L(2))\neq\alpha^{-\frac{1}{k}}$. For cycle $v_1e_mv_2e_{m-2}v_4e_{m-3}v_1$, we have

$$\frac{B(v_2,e_m)}{B(v_1,e_m)}\cdot\frac{B(v_4,e_{m-2})}{B(v_2,e_{m-2})}\cdot\frac{B(v_1,e_{m-3})}{B(v_4,e_{m-3})}=\frac{1-2\alpha^{\frac{2}{3}}}{8\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}}(1-\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}})}\neq 1.$$

Therefore matrix $B(v,e)$ is not consistent. Then $B_m^L(2)$ is not consistently α-normal, by (1) of Lemma 7 we know that $\rho(B_m^L(2))\neq\alpha^{-\frac{1}{k}}$. It follows that $\rho(B_m^L(2))<\alpha^{-\frac{1}{k}}=\rho(B_m^L(1))$.

(ii). If $m\geqslant 7$, then $\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}}<\frac{1}{2}$ from (6). Therefore

$$2\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}}-1<0,\ 4\alpha^{\frac{2}{3}}-2\alpha^{\frac{1}{3}}-1<0.$$

It follows that

$$\prod_{v:v\in e_m} B(v,e_m)>\alpha.$$

That is, $B_m^L(2)$ is strictly α-subnormal, and therefore by (2) of Lemma 7 we have $\rho(B_m^L(2))<\alpha^{-\frac{1}{k}}=\rho(B_m^L(1))$.

The proof is completed. □

It is convenient to prove the second part of Conjecture 4 by using the following expression of spectral radius of a nonegative symmetric tensor.

Theorem 9 ([11]) *Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a nonnegative symmetric tensor of order k and dimension n, denote $\mathbb{R}^n_+=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n\,|\,x\geqslant 0\}$. Then we have*

$$\rho(\mathcal{T})=\max\{x^T(\mathcal{T}x)\,|\,x\in\mathbb{R}^n_+,\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k=1\}. \tag{8}$$

Furthermore, $x\in\mathbb{R}^n_+$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k=1$ is an optimal solution of the above optimization problem if and only if it is an eigenvector of $\mathcal{T}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\rho(\mathcal{T})$.

Theorem 10 *For $m\geqslant 5$ we have $\rho\left(B_m^L(2)\right)>\rho\left(B_m^P\right)$.*



Figure 4: B_m^P

Proof. Let x be the unit (positive) principal eigenvector of $\mathcal{A}(B_m^P)$, namely, $\rho\left(B_m^P\right) = x^T\left(\mathcal{A}(B_m^P)x\right)$. We label some vertices of B_m^P as shown in Figure 4.

By the symmetry of the vertices $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_{k-2}$, we have $x_{a_1} = \cdots = x_{a_{k-2}}$. Similarly we have $x_{b_1} = \cdots = x_{b_{k-2}}$, $x_a = x_b$ and $x_{a_1} = x_{b_1}$.

We will show that $x_a > x_{a_1}$. Write $\rho = \rho(B_m^P)$ for short, then we have $\rho > (\Delta(B_m^P))^{\frac{1}{k}} > 0$ (see [4]), where $\Delta(B_m^P)$ is the maximum degree of B_m^P. By (2) we have

$$\begin{cases} \rho x_{a_1}^{k-1} = (x_{a_1})^{k-3} x_a x_v, \\ \rho x_a^{k-1} > (x_{a_1})^{k-2} x_v. \end{cases}$$

Thus $\rho(x_{a_1})^k = (x_{a_1})^{k-2} x_a x_v < \rho(x_a)^k$, and then $x_a > x_{a_1}$ holding.

Set

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= \{v, a_1, \cdots, a_{k-2}, a\}, \quad & e_2 &= \{v, b_1, \cdots, b_{k-2}, b\}, \\ e_1' &= \{v, a, b, a_2, \cdots, a_{k-2}\}, \quad & e_2' &= \{v, a_1, b_1, \cdots, b_{k-2}\}. \end{aligned}$$

(If $k = 3$, we set $e_1' = \{v, a, b\}$ and $e_2' = \{v, a_1, b_1\}$). It is obvious that

$$B_m^L(2) = B_m^P - e_1 - e_2 + e_1' + e_2'.$$

By Theorem 9 we have $\rho\left(B_m^L(2)\right) \geqslant x^T\left(\mathcal{A}(B_m^L(2))x\right)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \rho\left(B_m^L(2)\right) - \rho\left(B_m^P\right) &\geqslant x^T\left(\mathcal{A}(B_m^L(2))x\right) - x^T\left(\mathcal{A}(B_m^P)x\right) \\ &= x_v x_a x_b (x_{a_1})^{k-3} + x_v x_{a_1} (x_{b_1})^{k-2} - x_v (x_{a_1})^{k-2} x_a - x_v (x_{b_1})^{k-2} x_b \\ &= x_v (x_{a_1})^{k-3} (x_a - x_{a_1})^2 \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we prove that $\rho\left(B_m^L(2)\right) > \rho\left(B_m^P\right)$. □

3. An eigenvalues property of generalized hypergraph $G^{k,s}$

The concept of power hypergraphs was introduced in [7].

Definition 11 ([7]) Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be an ordinary graph. For every $k \geqslant 2$, the k-th power of G, $G^k := (V(G^k), E(G^k))$ is defined as the k-uniform hypergraph with the edge set

$$E(G^k) := \{e \cup \{i_{e,1}, \cdots, i_{e,k-2}\} \,|\, e \in E(G)\}$$

and the vertex set

$$V(G^k) := V(G) \cup (\cup_{e \in E(G)} \{i_{e,1}, \cdots, i_{e,k-2}\}).$$

The definition for k-th power hypergraph G^k has been generalized by Khan and Fan in [8].

Definition 12 ([8]) Let $G = (V, E)$ be an ordinary graph. For any $k \geqslant 3$ and $1 \leqslant s \leqslant \frac{k}{2}$. For each $v \in V$ (and $e \in E$), let V_v (and V_e) be a new vertex set with s (and $k - 2s$) elements such that all these new sets are pairwise disjoint. Then the generalized power of G, denoted by $G^{k,s}$, is defined as the k-uniform hypergraph with the vertex set

$$V(G^{k,s}) = \left(\bigcup_{v \in V} V_v\right) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{e \in E} V_e\right)$$

and edge set

$$E(G^{k,s}) = \{V_u \cup V_v \cup V_e : e = \{u, v\} \in E\}.$$

We may further generalize the definition of $G^{k,s}$ from a general graph G to a uniform hypergraph G as follows.

Definition 13 Let $G = (V, E)$ be a t-uniform hyergraph. For any $k \geqslant t$ and $1 \leqslant s \leqslant \lfloor \frac{k}{t} \rfloor$. For each $v \in V$ (and $e \in E$), let V_v (and V_e) be a new vertex set with s (and $k - ts$) elements such that all these new sets are pairwise disjoint. Then the generalized power of G, denoted by $G^{k,s}$, is defined as the k-uniform hypergraph with the vertex set

$$V(G^{k,s}) = \left(\bigcup_{v \in V} V_v\right) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{e \in E} V_e\right)$$

and edge set

$$E(G^{k,s}) = \{V_{v_1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{v_t} \cup V_e : e = \{v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_t\} \in E\}.$$

By constructing a new vector, we will prove a result related to the relationship between $\rho(\mathcal{A}(G))$ and $\rho(\mathcal{A}(G^{k,s}))$. Suppose that x is an eigenvector of $\mathcal{A}(G)$ corresponding to μ. For any edge e, we will write

$$x^e = \prod_{v \in e} x_v,$$

and

$$x^{e \setminus \{u\}} = \prod_{v \in (e \setminus \{u\})} x_v.$$

For any vertex v of a t-uniform hypergraph G, from (1) the corresponding eigenequation of $\mathcal{A}(G)$ with eigenpair (μ, x) becomes

$$(\mathcal{A}(G)x)_v = \sum_{v \in e} x^{e \setminus \{v\}} = \mu x_v^{t-1}. \tag{9}$$

Theorem 14 *If $\mu > 0$ is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}(G)$ with a nonnegative eigenvector, then $\mu^{\frac{ts}{k}}$ is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}(G^{k,s})$. Moreover $\rho(\mathcal{A}(G^{k,s})) = \rho(\mathcal{A}(G))^{\frac{ts}{k}}$ for connected hypergraph G.*

Proof. Suppose that x is a nonnegative eigenvector of the eigenvalue $\mu > 0$ of $\mathcal{A}(G)$. Now we construct a new vector y (of dimension $|V(G^{k,s})|$) from x by adding components. Set

$$y_w = \begin{cases} (x_v)^{\frac{t}{k}} & \text{if } w \in V_v \text{ for some } v, \\ (\mu^{-1}x^e)^{\frac{1}{k}} & \text{if } w \in V_e \text{ for some edge } e. \end{cases}$$

Now we will show $\mathcal{A}(G^{k,s})y = \mu^{\frac{ts}{k}} y^{[k-1]}$ holding.

For any $w \in V_v$ for some v, it follows from (9) that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{A}(G^{k,s})y)_w &= \sum_{v\in e\in E(G)} [(x_v)^{\frac{t}{k}}]^{s-1}[(x^{e\setminus\{v\}})^{\frac{t}{k}}]^s[(\mu^{-1}x^e)^{\frac{1}{k}}]^{k-ts} \\ &= \mu^{\frac{ts}{k}-1}(x_v)^{\frac{k-t}{k}} \sum_{v\in e\in E(G)} x^{e\setminus\{v\}} \\ &= \mu^{\frac{ts}{k}-1}(x_v)^{\frac{k-t}{k}}\mu(x_v)^{t-1} \\ &= \mu^{\frac{ts}{k}}[(x_v)^{\frac{t}{k}}]^{k-1} \\ &= \mu^{\frac{ts}{k}} y_w^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

For $w \in V_e$ for any edge e, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{A}(G^{k,s})y)_w &= [(x^e)^{\frac{t}{k}}]^s[(\mu^{-1}x^e)^{\frac{1}{k}}]^{k-ts-1} \\ &= \mu^{\frac{ts}{k}}(\mu^{-1}x^e)^{\frac{k-1}{k}} \\ &= \mu^{\frac{ts}{k}} y_w^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mu^{\frac{ts}{k}}$ is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}(G^{k,s})$ with eigenvector y.

For connected t-uniform hypergraph G, choose x as a positive eigenvector of $\rho(\mathcal{A}(G))$ by Perron-Frobenius theorem for irreducible nonnegative tensor. In this case y is a positive eigenvector of the eigenvalue $\rho(\mathcal{A}(G))^{\frac{ts}{k}}$ of tensor $\mathcal{A}(G^{k,s})$. In virtue of (2) of Theorem 3 (or see Lemma 15 of [15]), we have

$$\rho(\mathcal{A}(G^{k,s})) = \rho(\mathcal{A}(G))^{\frac{ts}{k}}.$$

The proof is completed. □

Remark 15 The result of Theorem 14 generalizes some known cases as follows.

(1). If we take $t = 2$ and $s = 1$, then $G^{k,s}$ becomes the k-th power of a general graph G (see Definition 11), and the corresponding version of Theorem 14 is Theorem 16 of [15].

(2). If we take $t = 2$ and $s = \frac{k}{2}$, and the corresponding version of Theorem 14 is the adjacency tensor part of Lemma 3.12 of [8].

(3). If we take $t = k-1$ and $s = 1$, and the corresponding version of Theorem 14 is a similar result of Lemma 8 in [9].

(4). If we take $t = 2$, then $G^{k,s}$ becomes the generalized power hypergraph of a general graph (see Definition 12), and the corresponding version of Theorem 14 is Theorem 21 of [14].

From the proof of Theorem 14 we obtain the following result.

Lemma 16 *Let G be a connected t-uniform hypergraph with spectral radius $\rho(G)$ and principal eigenvector y. Then $G^{k,s}$ has spectral radius $\rho(G)^{\frac{ts}{k}}$ with an eigenvector x such that*

$$x_w = \begin{cases} (y_v)^{\frac{t}{k}} & \text{if } w \in V_v \text{ for some } v \text{ of } G, \\ \left(\frac{y^e}{\rho(G)}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}} & \text{if } w \in V_e \text{ for some edge } e \text{ of } G. \end{cases}$$

References

[1] C. Berge, Hypergraph: Combinatorics of Finite sets, third edition, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.

[2] A. Bretto, Hypergraph Theory: An Introduction, Springer, 2013.

[3] K. C. Chang, K. Pearson, T. Zhang, Perron-Frobenius theorem for nonegative tensors, Commun. Math. Sci. 6 (2008) 507-520.

[4] J. Cooper, A. Dutle, Spectra of uniform hypergraphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 436 (2012) 3268-3299.

[5] Y. Fan, Y. Tan, X. Peng, A. Liu, Maximizing spectral radii of uniform hypergraphs with few edges, to appear in: Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory.

[6] S. Friedland, A. Gaubert, L. Han, Perron-Frobenius theorems for nonnegative multilinear forms and extensions, Linear Algebra Appl. 438 (2013) 738-749.

[7] S. Hu, L. Qi, J. Shao, Cored hypergraphs, power hypergraphs and their Laplacian eigenvalues, Linear Algebra Appl. 439 (2013) 2980-2998.

[8] M. Khan, Y. Fan, On the spectral radius of a class of non-odd-bipartite even uniform hypergraphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 480 (2015) 93-106.

[9] L. Lu, S. Man, Connected hypergraphs with small spectral radius, arXiv:1402.5402v3, 2014.

[10] L. Qi, Eigenvalues of a real supersymmetric tensor, J. Symbolic Comput. 40 (2005) 1302-1324.

[11] L. Qi, Symmetric nonnegative tensors and copositive tensors, Linear Algebra Appl. 439 (2013) 228-238.

[12] J. Shao, A general product of tensors with applications, Linear Algebra Appl. 439 (2013) 2350-2366.

[13] Y. Yang, Q. Yang, On some properties of nonegative weakly irreducible tensors, arXiv: 1111.0713v3, 2011.

[14] X. Yuan, L. Qi, J. Shao, The proof of a conjecture on largest Laplacian and signless Laplacian H-eigenvalues of uniform hypergraphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 490 (2016) 18-30.

[15] J. Zhou, L. Sun, W. Wang, C. Bu, Some spectral properties of uniform hypergraphs, Electron. J. Combin. 21(4) (2014), #P4.24.